¶2530.38 Demystifying Cost Share - Practical Guidance from Proposal to Closeout

Leah Acajabon, Attain Partners and Danforth Nicholas, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Introduction

Cost share remains one of the most frequently misunderstood and inconsistently managed aspects of sponsored research administration. While it can be a powerful tool to demonstrate institutional commitment or fulfill sponsor expectations, poorly planned or tracked cost share can expose institutions to significant compliance, financial, and reputational risks.

This article offers practical guidance for managing cost share across the full award lifecycle—from proposal to closeout. We examine key definitions, strategic considerations, risk factors, and implementation practices to help research administrators demystify this critical topic.

What Is Cost Share?

Cost share, or cost matching, is the portion of a sponsored project's total cost not paid by the sponsor. It may come from institutional funds, third parties, or waived indirect costs.

Types of Cost Share

- **Mandatory Committed:** Required by the sponsor. Must be quantified and tracked.
  - *Example:* A Department of Energy award requiring a 20% institutional match.
- **Voluntary Committed:** Not required but offered in the proposal. Becomes binding if accepted.
  - *Example:* A faculty member commits 5% effort at no cost on an NSF proposal.
- **Voluntary Uncommitted:** Not required or quantified. Not reported or tracked.
  - *Example:* A faculty member's broader intellectual engagement or base line departmental support.
- **Third-Party Cost Share:** Contributions from external organizations (e.g., industry partners, nonprofits) that support the project. Must be documented and, if committed, tracked similarly to institutional cost share.
  - *Example:* A nonprofit partner provides in-kind services or equipment valued at $10,000 to support a research project.
- **Salary Cap Share:** Salary amounts above a sponsor-imposed cap (e.g., NIH) cannot be charged to the grant and must be tracked separately but are not considered cost share. Although not "cost share" under federal definitions, these amounts must be tracked internally.
  - *Example:* A faculty member's annual salary exceeds the NIH cap, so the excess amount is tracked internally but not reported as cost share on the grant.

- **Indirect Cost Cap Share:** When a sponsor imposes a cap on the indirect cost rate that an organization may charge to an award, the unrecovered indirect costs are still borne by the Institution. Some foundations do allow unrecovered Facilities and Administrative (F&A) costs to be counted towards mandatory cost-share totals, in which case they must be tracked and reported. Whether these are explicitly considered cost-share varies by organization. Some institutions track and account for this shortfall in all instances while others will only track it when being reported as mandatory cost-share.
  - *Example:* The Institution's negotiated indirect cost rate is 59% MTDC, but the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation will not pay more than 20% of the total budget for indirect.

NOTE: Correct classification is essential. Voluntary committed cost share unintentionally included in a proposal narrative can become an enforceable obligation.

Strategic Use in Proposals

Cost share, when used strategically, can demonstrate institutional investment in a project—particularly for agencies or foundations that expect institutional commitment. However, unnecessary cost share creates burdens and liabilities.

When a particular sponsor or program requires cost share, it is an eligibility requirement. However, some sponsors, especially non-federal foundations, have strong expectations to see cost share included. Aligning with sponsor expectations can be a key factor. The inclusion of cost share also demonstrates institutional or stakeholder buy-in and leverages additional resources from partners who share an interest in the research topic.

However, this is not without risk. Cost share reduces recoverable indirect costs, which are a key source of research funding. Cost share can tie up PI or departmental effort that might otherwise have been committed to another project, or even billable under a different sponsor. Last and certainly not least, cost share is administratively burdensome. Every expense committed as cost share is auditable to the same degree of scrutiny as an expense paid from sponsor funds.

Deciding whether including cost share will be a strategic advantage in your proposal depends on a number of factors. Check the sponsor's submission guidelines or the solicitation to determine if cost share is required or simply encouraged. If your institution has had prior awards with this sponsor, it may be helpful to see what has been included in prior submissions. You will want to work with your investigator to ensure that anything budgeted as cost share is necessary and appropriate given the statement of work and will occur during the same dates as the award period. Be sure to determine what documentation you will be able to collect as verification, especially in cases of in-kind cost share.

Avoiding Unintended Commitments

One of the most common sources of unintentional cost share is imprecise or overly enthusiastic proposal language. Even when not budgeted or required, narrative statements that imply institutional support or uncompensated effort can become binding obligations—transforming the best of intentions into voluntary committed cost share. These commitments may be binding no matter where they appear in the proposal: the technical volume, the budget justification, or the facilities and other resources documents often contain these types of commitments.

Proposal reviewers, particularly at federal agencies, may interpret phrases like "at no cost to the sponsor" or "will contribute X% effort" as formal institutional commitments. Once the proposal is awarded, these statements may need to be tracked, documented, and reported—whether or not they were budgeted or coordinated with internal stakeholders.

Best Practices

Always use language that is accurate, flexible, and non-binding unless the contribution is intentional, approved, and budgeted. When in doubt, consult with your central sponsored programs office before submitting the proposal.

Context	Committal Language	Preferred Non-Committal Language
Faculty/Staff Effort	"Dr. Smith will devote 10% effort at no cost to the project."	"Dr. Smith will provide scientific guidance as needed."
Departmental Resources	"The department will provide lab space and a technician."	"The department has facilities that may support the project."
Use of Institutional Equipment	"We will use the department's mass spectrometer for analyses."	"Analyses will utilize existing instrumentation as available."
Graduate Student Support	"The PI will fund a graduate student from departmental funds."	"A graduate student may assist with the project as appropriate."
Unfunded PI Contributions	"The PI will oversee data collection without salary support."	"The PI will oversee project activities in line with overall responsibilities."
Administrative Support	"An admin will coordinate meetings at no charge."	"Meeting coordination support will be provided as appropriate within institutional capacity."

TIP: If you find yourself using terms like "at no cost to the sponsor," "without compensation," or "will provide," pause and consider if those statements could be construed as voluntary committed cost share.

Why It Matters

Unintentional commitments can lead to:

- **Administrative Burden:** The institution must track and verify unbudgeted contributions.
- **Compliance Risk:** Failure to document voluntary committed cost share may lead to audit findings.
- **Loss of Flexibility:** Once committed, contributions typically require sponsor approval to reduce or remove.
- **Strained Internal Relations:** Departments may be surprised by obligations they were never consulted on.

By using non-committal, descriptive language, institutions preserve the flexibility to adapt support based on available resources—without creating new compliance obligations.

Case Example: Demonstrating Commitment Without Cost Share

A Principal Investigator (PI) is preparing a proposal for a private foundation that values institutional commitment but does not require formal cost share. The PI wants to convey strong departmental support to strengthen the proposal's narrative, but without creating a binding financial obligation that would be classified as voluntary committed cost share.

To navigate this, the department carefully frames its support in *non-quantifiable, non-binding terms*. Instead of specifying a percentage of effort or dollar value, the proposal describes the PI's departmental role as providing "as-needed guidance" or "advisory support as appropriate."

This language achieves several goals:

- Signals institutional engagement to the sponsor.
- Preserves flexibility in how and when support is provided.
- Avoids triggering tracking and reporting requirements associated with voluntary committed cost share.
- Prevents unintentional binding commitments that could become enforceable obligations if the proposal is funded.

This approach is especially useful when working with sponsors that are less formal than federal agencies but still expect to see signs of institutional backing.

NOTE: It's a common misunderstanding that including cost share—either when not required or beyond the minimum—can improve a proposal's chances of funding. However, under Federal Uniform Guidance, such contributions are not expected and cannot be considered during merit review. Both PIs and research administrators should carefully assess whether cost share is strategically necessary and ensure it is fully documented and justified if included (see 2 CFR 200.306 "Cost Sharing").

Navigating Cost Share Rules: What You Can and Cannot Include

When proposing or managing cost share, it's essential to understand which expenses are eligible and which are not. Cost share must adhere to the same federal cost principles that apply to direct charges, meaning costs must be allowable, allocable, reasonable, consistently treated, and verifiable. Missteps can lead to compliance issues, audit findings, or disallowed costs. The following breakdown clarifies what qualifies as allowable cost share and what should be avoided.

Allowable and Unallowable Costs

Cost share committed to federally funded projects must follow the same cost principles as direct charges per 2 CFR 200:

- Allowable
- Allocable
- Reasonable
- Consistently treated
- Verifiable

Allowable Cost Share

- Salaries and benefits for project staff
- Tuition remission for students on the project
- Equipment purchased for project use
- Travel directly related to project activities
- Supplies necessary for project success
- Waived or unrecovered F&A (when permitted)

Unallowable Cost Share

- Federal funds used to meet another federal cost share
- Unrelated expenses
- Unapproved pre-award costs
- In-kind support lacking documentation
- Administrative or clerical costs (unless justified)

NOTE: Even when working with non-federal sponsors, many institutions choose to apply the same internal standards for cost share as they do for federal awards to uphold compliance and financial integrity—though practices may vary by institution.

Case Example: Misclassified Travel Costs

Dr. Rivera is preparing a proposal for a federally funded research project. To demonstrate institutional support, she includes a commitment to cover travel costs for a co-investigator to attend a professional conference. However, the conference is not directly related to the project's objectives, it's more broadly focused on the co-investigator's field.

Although the travel is academic in nature, it does not meet the criteria of being allocable to the specific project. Because it's not directly tied to project activities, it is considered unallowable as cost share under 2 CFR 200.

What Went Wrong:

- The travel was not allocable to the project.
- It lacked verifiable documentation linking it to project goals.
- It was included as voluntary committed cost share, creating a binding obligation.

Outcome:

During an internal review, the cost share was flagged and removed from the proposal. The department revised the language to reflect general professional development support, avoiding a compliance issue and preserving flexibility.

Setup and Tracking

Once a proposal is funded, any committed cost share becomes a formal obligation.

Step 1: Confirm Requirements

- Review proposal, sponsor notice of award, and budget justification.
- Determine if cost share is mandatory, voluntary committed, or in-kind.
- Check if a separate cost share account is required by your institution.

Step 2: Build a Tracking System

- Assign responsibilities across PI, department, and central office.
- Use institutional systems or standardized templates to track contributions.
- Document each entry with receipts, payroll records, or valuation letters.
- Align with effort certification or payroll verification processes.

Step 3: Monitor and Report

- Run periodic reports to compare actual contributions to commitments.
- Communicate gaps or overages early.
- Prepare sponsor reports with itemized detail and narrative context.

Some institutions use modules within ERP systems (e.g., Oracle, SAP, Kuali) to automate tracking and flag issues. Others rely on spreadsheets and regular audits.

NOTE: Cost share is not recognized simply by transferring funds to a tracking account; it must be supported by actual incurred expenses or documented in-kind contributions.

Risks of Non-Compliance

Mismanaging cost share carries serious financial, compliance, and reputational consequences. Institutions must understand not only what is at stake in terms of sponsor relations and audit findings but also how cost share decisions affect internal funding structures—particularly indirect cost recovery.

Compliance and Operational Risks

- **Billing delays:** some sponsors will only reimburse an institution's incurred costs up to the proportion of their cost share commitment they have expended as of that date. That is, if you have expended 30% of the sponsor funds, but only 20% of the cost share funds, the sponsor may withhold payment of the remaining 10% until the cost share expenses catch up.
- **Funding Reduction or Termination:** If the required cost share is not met, the sponsor may reduce funding or terminate the award.
- **Repayment Obligations:** Cost share shortfalls can trigger repayment of part or all of the sponsor funds.
- **Loss of Future Funding:** Sponsors may bar institutions with repeat violations from future opportunities.
- **Audit Findings and Reputational Harm:** Unmet or undocumented cost share is a frequent finding in federal audits.

Institutional Financial Impact: Reduced Indirect Cost Recovery

Cost share can substantially reduce an institution's indirect cost recovery—a key mechanism for covering the facilities and administrative (F&A) expenses associated with conducting research. When institutional resources are redirected to meet cost share obligations, those contributions often do not generate F&A reimbursement, leading to funding gaps in administrative and research infrastructure.

How It Happens

- **Waived F&A:** Some institutions agree to waive or reduce their negotiated F&A rate to meet sponsor match requirements. This is effectively a cost share of unrecovered overhead—often undocumented and unrecoverable elsewhere.
- **Direct Cost Substitution:** When departments use internal funds to pay for direct costs that could have been sponsor-funded, the associated F&A on those costs is also forfeited.
- **Misclassified Contributions:** Voluntary committed cost share that bypasses institutional systems may result in unrecoverable indirect costs with no audit trail.

Long-Term Consequences

- **Weakened Research Infrastructure:** Insufficient F&A recovery limits investments in research compliance, IT, administrative staffing, and infrastructure.
- **Distorted Financial Metrics:** Overuse of cost share can misrepresent actual research investment or institutional support.
- **Budgetary Tensions:** Departments asked to contribute funds may feel penalized for successful proposals, discouraging future participation.

Practical Example

A university accepts a foundation award requiring a 1:1 match. The department funds $100,000 in salary support and materials, which would have otherwise been charged to the grant. Because these costs are institutionally funded, they generate no indirect costs, resulting in a loss of $50,000 in potential F&A at a 50% rate.

Calculating Cost Share

Unless the sponsor requires it, it is best practice to express cost share in dollar values rather than percentages to reduce ambiguity.

Examples of a $10,000 Cost Share Commitment:

Cost Share Type	Breakdown	Total Value Contributed
Equipment	$10,000 in capital equipment (no indirect costs applied)	$10,000
Travel	$6,667 in travel costs + 50% F&A ($3,333)	$10,000
Salary & Fringe	$5,128 in salary + 30% fringe ($1,538) + 50% F&A ($3,334)	$10,000
Tuition Support	Institutional policies on cost-share tuition vary widely, often depending on how the institution charges tuition to sponsored accounts. The crucial point is that no more can be claimed as cost share than would have been charged. Tuition contributions must be properly documented and allowable per sponsor and institutional policies	$10,000

Calculating Cost Share Ratios

Cost share requirements can vary significantly depending on the sponsor and institution, so it's important to carefully interpret the language used in each funding opportunity. A sponsor might state that cost share is "20%," but the actual dollar amount this represents depends on the base to which the percentage is applied. Below are common ways cost share ratios are calculated:

Percentage of Total Project Costs

This is the most common method used in federal projects. In this model:

- **Formula:** Sponsor Funded Costs + Grantee Cost Share = Total Project
- ***Example:*** If the sponsor requires that the grantee contribute 20% of the total project costs:
  - $80,000 (sponsor)+$20,000 (cost share) = $100,000 (total project costs)

Percentage of Awarded Amount

Some sponsors base cost share on a percentage of the awarded (sponsor-funded) amount:

- ***Example:*** If the sponsor requires 20% cost share based on the awarded amount:
  - $100,000 (sponsor) + $20,000 (cost share) = $120,000 (total project costs)

Matching Ratio (e.g., 1:1 Match)

In this model, the sponsor specifies a direct match requirement:

- ***Example:*** A 1:1 match means the grantee must contribute an amount equal to the sponsor's funding:
  - $50,000 (sponsor) + $50,000 (cost share) = $100,000 (total project costs)

Table:

Cost Share Method	Basis of Calculation	Formula	Example
% of Total Project Costs	Total project costs = Sponsor + Grantee	Sponsor Funded Costs + Grantee Cost Share = Total Project Costs	$80,000 (sponsor) + $20,000 (cost share) = $100,000 total
% of Awarded Amount	Sponsor-funded amount	Sponsor Funded Amount + Cost Share = Total Project Costs	$100,000 (sponsor) + $20,000 (cost share) = $120,000 total
Matching Ratio	Direct match between sponsor and grantee contributions	Sponsor Contribution = Grantee Contribution	$50,000 (sponsor) + $50,000 (cost share) = $100,000 total

Institutional Impact: *Lost Indirect Cost Recovery Due to Cost Share*

Even when allowable and well-documented, cost share often results in foregone F&A (Facilities & Administrative) cost recovery. The table below illustrates how different cost share choices can affect the institution's financial position, assuming a 50% F&A rate on modified total direct costs (MTDC).

Examples: Indirect Costs Lost When Contributions Are Cost Shared Instead of Sponsor-Funded

Cost Share Type	Direct Cost Contributed	F&A Rate Applied	F&A Lost to Institution	Total Institutional Cost (Direct + Lost F&A)
PI Salary	$10,000	50%	$5,000	$15,000
Lab Supplies	$8,000	50%	$4,000	$12,000
Graduate Tuition	$5,500 subsidized tuition	0% (tuition excluded from F&A)	$0	$5,500
Capital Equipment	$10,000	0% (equipment excluded)	$0	$10,000
Travel	$6,667	50%	$3,333	$10,000

NOTE: Items like capital equipment and tuition are often exempt from indirect costs under MTDC, while salary, supplies, and travel typically incur F&A. Therefore, when these are cost shared, the institution absorbs both the direct cost and the opportunity cost of lost F&A recovery.

The source of cost share affects F&A recovery and departmental budgets. Always consider long-term impacts.

Reporting and Closeout

Cost share reporting must be accurate, complete, and timely—both during the project and at closeout. Responsibilities may vary by institution or sponsor. For example, if cost share is reported with invoices, Accounts Receivable may be involved; if included in technical reports, coordination with the PI's team is essential.

Upon receiving the award, review the cost share terms and reporting requirements. Set reminders for deadlines and ensure you understand the sponsor's format and expectations. Be prepared to categorize contributions (e.g., cash, in-kind, third-party) and provide clear documentation and narrative explanations showing how each supported the project.

At closeout, verify that all commitments were met and properly recorded. Reconcile internal records with sponsor submissions. A promptly submitted correction is usually accepted more easily than an audit finding. Archive documentation per institutional and sponsor policies—typically seven years for federal grants and four for contracts, though internal policies may require longer retention.

Tips

- ◆ **Clarify Responsibilities Early:** Identify who handles reporting—central office, PI team, or Accounts Receivable—based on sponsor requirements.
- ◆ **Review Award Terms Promptly:** Understand reporting formats, timelines, and documentation expectations as soon as the award is made.
- ◆ **Set Calendar Reminders:** Schedule key reporting deadlines to avoid last-minute issues.
- ◆ **Categorize Contributions Clearly:** Track cash, in-kind, and third-party support separately if required.

- **Document Everything:** Maintain receipts, effort certifications, and valuation letters to support reported contributions.
- **Reconcile at Closeout:** Ensure all cost share commitments are met and align with sponsor submissions.
- **Retain Records:** Follow sponsor and institutional retention policies—typically 4–7 years.
- **Conduct Periodic Reviews:** Analyze closed awards to identify training needs or process improvements.

Final Takeaways

Cost share can be a valuable tool—but only when handled strategically and in compliance with sponsor and institutional policies.

Key Practices

- Commit only what is required or adds clear value.
- Obtain all necessary approvals before proposal submission.
- Communicate with contributing units early and often.
- Track and document contributions rigorously.
- Train stakeholders and continuously refine internal processes.

Conclusion

Cost share is often treated as a budget line—but it is a strategic decision with far-reaching administrative and compliance implications. By building strong policies, transparent practices, and clear communication channels, institutions can turn cost share from a compliance trap into a competitive advantage.

About the Authors

Leah Acajabon is a Senior Associate at Attain Partners specializing in grants management and post-award. She provides expert guidance and strategic support to organizations navigating the complexities of grant administration. With a deep understanding of regulatory compliance, financial oversight, and performance evaluation, she strives to optimize post-award processes to ensure the successful implementation and stewardship of grant funds. She can be reached at lpacajabon@attainpartners.com

Danforth Nicholas is a Research Administration Manager in the Department of Medical Oncology at Dana-Farber Cancer Institute. He has been in research administration for over two decades, in both departmental and central office roles. He can be reached at danforth_nicholas@dfci.harvard.edu